

**HEALTH, WELFARE & PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE  
A G E N D A**

---

Date: Thursday, March 24, 2016  
Time: 7:30 pm  
Location: Norwalk City Hall, Room 231

---

- I. Welcome and Roll Call
- II. Approval of Minutes for January 28, 2016 and February 25, 2016
- III. Public Participation
- IV. New Business
- V. Old Business
- VI. Adjournment

**NEW BUSINESS**

**Norwalk Fire Department**

**Resolution Adopting the 2016-2021 South Western Region Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update**

**WHEREAS**, the City of Norwalk Common Council recognizes the threats that natural hazards pose to people and property within the City of Norwalk; and

**WHEREAS**, the City of Norwalk, in collaboration with the Western Connecticut Council of Governments (WESTCOG), has prepared a multi-hazard mitigation plan, hereby known as the 2016-2021 South Western Region Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update (the Mitigation Plan Update) in accordance with the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000; and

**WHEREAS**, the 2016-2021 South Western Region Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update has identified mitigation goals and actions to reduce or eliminate long-term risk to people and property from the impacts of future hazards and disasters that affect the City of Norwalk and the region; and

**WHEREAS**, public and committee meetings were held between August 14, 2013 and October 8, 2015 in furtherance of the development and review of the Mitigation Plan Update; and

**WHEREAS**, the Federal Emergency Management Agency/Department Homeland Security has approved the 2016-2021 South Western Region Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update, on condition of local adoption, which enables the City of Norwalk to apply for Hazard Mitigation Grant funding; and

**WHEREAS**, adoption by the City of Norwalk Common Council of the Mitigation Plan Update demonstrates Norwalk's commitment to hazard mitigation and the achievement of the goals outlined in the City of Norwalk's section of the Mitigation Plan Update.

**NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the City of Norwalk Common Council hereby adopts the 2016-2021 South Western Region Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update.

**OLD BUSINESS**

**ADJOURNMENT**

**CITY OF NORWALK  
HEALTH, WELFARE AND PUBLIC SAFETY  
REGULAR MEETING  
JANUARY 28, 2016**

**ATTENDANCE:** Faye Bowman, Chair; Stephen Serasis, Richard Bonenfant, Nick Sacchinelli, Michelle Maggio, Eloise Melendez

**STAFF:** None.

**OTHERS:** Tim Callahan, Norwalk Health Department, Deputy Chief Ashley Gonzalez, Fire Chief Lawrence Reilly, Philip Pissaro, Daniel Amoroccio; Police Chief Thomas Kulhawik (7:46 p.m.)

**CALL TO ORDER**

Ms. Bowman called the meeting to order at 7:35 p.m. A quorum was present.

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

The following corrections were noted:

Page 1, following **ATTENDANCE:** please add “**STAFF:** Irene Dixon, Assistant City Clerk”

Page 1, **Footer** and following: Please change “Regular Meeting” to “Special Meeting”.

**\*\* MR. BONENFANT MOVED THE MINUTES OF THE DECEMBER 7, 2015 MINUTES AS CORRECTED.**

**\*\* THE MOTION PASSED WITH FIVE IN FAVOR (BOWMAN, SERASIS, BONENFANT, SACCHINELLI, AND MELENDEZ) AND ONE ABSTENTION (MAGGIO).**

**PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

No one wished to address the Committee at this time. Ms. Bowman said that she would allow public comment at the end of the meeting also.

**NEW BUSINESS**

**Norwalk Health Department**

- 1. Authorize the Mayor, Harry Rilling, to execute any and all documents necessary to apply for and accept grant funds from the State of Connecticut**

**for the Immunization Action Plan (IAP) Program for the period January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2020 in the amount of \$486,765.00.**

**2. Authorize the Mayor Harry Rilling, to execute any and all documents, instruments or amendments as may be necessary to implement the Immunization Action Plan (IAP) Program for the period January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2020.**

**\*\* MR. BONENFANT MOVED BOTH ITEMS.**

Mr. Callahan said that this was a Federal Grant that was passed through from the Federal Government to the state and then to Norwalk. He said that there was a registry in Connecticut where the parents can voluntarily enroll their child so when an immunization is given, it is registered, the office will notify the parents when the next round of shots is due. Clinicians can check to see if the child has received their shots. The City has received these funds for more than 20 years. Norwalk and Danbury have the highest number of immunizations in the State and the Norwalk Community Center has the highest number of immunizations.

Mr. Bonenfant asked what would happen if a child had received two of the same shot. Mr. Callahan explained that since there were no live viruses used in vaccines, it most likely would not be a problem.

**\*\* THE MOTION TO APPROVE THE ITEM PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.**

### **DISCUSSION ITEMS**

#### **1. Sheridan Street and Lincoln Avenue Safety Updates**

Ms. Bowman said that there had been some safety concerns from those residents who live in the area.

Assistant Police Chief Gonzales said that there had been a call about a shooting in the area of Lincoln Avenue recently. Three individuals were in a vehicle and one of the passengers was shot. He said that it was an active, ongoing investigation.

*Chief Kulhawik joined the meeting at 7:46 p.m.*

Mr. Bonenfant said that his friend, Mr. Pissaro, owns a home there and had expressed concerns. Assistant Police Chief Gonzales said that the staff had reviewed the records and most of the calls stemmed from incidents that happened on Woodward Avenue and spilled onto Lincoln. Mr. Pissaro said that he also owns a house on Woodward Avenue, and there doesn't seem to be as many problems with that property.

Ms. Bowman said that she had lived there and there had been random violence in the past. She added that there was a wooded area that might be the source of the problem. Ms. Bowman said that she understood that the police were working on the problem. Mr. Pissaro said that there were two street lights out and that these had been reported to Public Works. He added that with the leaves off the bushes and trees, there were fewer people congregating in the area. Ms. Bowman said that she would talk to the Second Taxing District about the lighting.

## **2. Ordinance Committee Street Sign Standard Resolution**

Ms. Bowman said that this ordinance had been presented and that it was later determined that it was not necessary. Fire Chief Reilly said that there was one road where some of the residents wanted more signage and others did not. The Fire Marshal spoke with the Law Department and DPW about this ordinance was drafted. There had been a discussion about having the signs resemble the City street signs. Fire Chief Reilly said that he had been in private communities in Norwalk where the signage was legible but not the same type as the City signs. He gave an brief description of the previous signage and said that the residents had apparently been able to work out the issue between themselves. Discussion followed.

Mr. Bonenfant gave a quick overview of the previous discussions on this topic. Fire Chief Reilly pointed out that the residents in the private road had added more signage. Ms. Bowman said that the Committee should reach out to the residents of the private road to see if they are comfortable with the signage. Mr. Serasis said that he would like to check with the Legal Department to make sure that the City would not be liable if there was a problem locating an address.

## **3. Norwalk PD Stun Gun Use and Policy**

Ms. Bowman said that she had seen the article in the newspaper about the use of tasers in Norwalk. Mr. Daniel Amoroccio said that he had a number of questions for Ms. Bowman.

Chief Kulhawik said that last year, the State had mandated that all uses of the taser be documented including incidents when the taser is just pointed but not discharged. He said that the Department had to compile this information for the last 10 or 12 years because they are accredited. However, the Department did not report these statistics to the State because they were not required to do so. The majority of the Police Departments in Connecticut are not accredited and therefore, have not been collecting to data prior to the State requirements to do so. When Chief Kulhawik spoke with the reporter who wrote the article, he was informed by that report that some departments did not report the data, others submitted partial data or inaccurate and still others omitted the data where the tasers have been pointed at a suspect but not discharged. Therefore the statistics that were published were not complete, nor were they completely accurate.

Chief Kulhawik added that not every Police Department has tasers and of those that do, not every patrol officer has a taser. Hartford reported 51 uses of the taser. The Hartford PD only has a 136 tasers but has 400 officers. Their statistics will be lower simply because there are fewer tasers to be utilized.

Chief Kulhawik said he had seen a report that Norwalk was the sixth largest city in Connecticut, but ranked Number 2 in taser use. He said that he disputed this because the figures were flawed due to the reporting problems. He then gave the comparison of the figures per capita, Norwalk is Number 9, even with the flawed data. There were some small towns per capita where the taser uses was slightly over 7.0 per 10,000, but Norwalk came in at 4.6 per 10,000.

The article focused on who the taser was used on along with their race and ethnicity. The reason that the State requested the report was to look at exactly who the taser was being used on and how frequently. Chief Kulhawik pointed out that the report did not include an analysis of all arrests or a break down on the population of any given city. The population in the study is only those who are resisting arrest and the officer would have to use a baton or other means to subdue the suspect.

The term “less lethal” refers to the fact that it is not a “non-lethal” weapon. The benefits of the taser far outweigh the officer having to use hands on methods or the use of a baton or black jack. Previously, when officers were forced to use a black jack or baton on suspects who resisted arrest, the suspects went to the hospital. The officers are now trained to avoid physical contact and use the taser instead. Chief Kulhawik said that there were far fewer suspects resisting arrest because of the taser and fewer officers injured.

The leadership monitors the use of the tasers, and all the incidents where the suspect resists arrest. He stated that whenever an officer uses force in an arrest, they fill out a three page form that give a very detailed report about the taser use, any injuries sustained and other information. Those reports are sent to Deputy Chief Gonzales for policy review. Chief Kulhawik added that he personally reviewed the records for the last two years and there were only a handful of excessive force complaints, none of those complaints involved the use of the taser.

Deputy Chief Gonzalez said that when he was in the field, when people were either resisting arrest or attempting to flee, once they saw the taser, they stopped. Most people don't want to be tased, so once they see the red dot, they just surrender. The bottom line here is that neither the suspects and the officers were injured during the incident.

Chief Kulhawik said that the taser levels the playing field in terms of height and weight disparities. An officer who is of smaller stature confronting a larger individual now does not have to resort to bodily force or deadly force. Regardless how big or small the officer is, when the taser is applied properly, it would disable an individual.

Ms. Bowman said that she had some concerns. She said that there were some conclusions that could not be drawn from the article. She asked if Norwalk was the only department that has a taser for every officer. Chief Kulhawik said that there were smaller department that were fully deployed. Some of the larger city are not fully deployed. Hartford only has 136 tasers with 400 officers. He said that he was not sure if Waterbury or Bridgeport had a full compliment of tasers. Some smaller towns have a taser bank and the officers on duty sign them out. Other departments only give the tasers to the supervisors. It varies across the State.

Ms. Bowman said that Norwalk ranked as Number 2, above Bridgeport and New Haven, which indicated to her that there were some issues with the figures. However, Norwalk reported 40 incidents, which means most of the officers did not use the tasers. Chief Kulhawik reminded everyone that the figure included point the taser. He said that there were just slightly over half where the taser was deployed.

Ms. Bowman said that she would like clarification as to whether or not there were 40 officers that used their tasers once or a particular officer using their taser several times. She asked if there was an officer who used the taser and was inflating the numbers. Chief Kulhawik said that there would be some officers that would use it more than others due to their assignments. However, every use is carefully reviewed to make sure that it falls within policy. There is an officer that Chief Kulhawik felt was not utilizing the taser enough. Assistant Chief Gonzales said that the use of force is evaluated by the shift commander and then passed on to him. If a problem is detected, the Chief is informed and reviews the situation.

Accreditation requires an annual review of "use of force" and an analysis is done, Chief Kulhawik said. The statistics for 2015 are being compiled. There is a website that has the statistics for 2014.

Mr. Serasis said that he thought it was good to have less lethal weapons used rather than lethal weapons. Personally, he was glad that all the officers have a taser. He asked if there was a ratio of tasers versus firearms. He asked if there were statistics on how often a firearm was drawn and pointed. Chief Kulhawik explained that if an officer points a firearm, that is considered a reportable incident and it is tracked. Mr. Serasis said that it would be good to show a comparison between the taser use to the firearm use. Chief Kulhawik gave an example of when a taser was used rather than a firearm to resolve a situation. The taser was used to disarm a suspect with a knife. If the taser had not be used, most likely the individual would have been shot. Chief Kulhawik said that the 2014 figures were available on line and someone could see how many times a firearm was employed and how many times a taser was utilized. He cautioned everyone that it was a bit like comparing apples and oranges since a felony stop would require immediately require firearms to be drawn and a taser would not be considered. The taser's use is involved when the officer is going to make a physical arrest. The tools are used for different situations.

Ms. Maggio said that since the department has been accredited for many years, they have been collecting this information for all that time. Chief Kulhawik agreed. The use of force decreased last year compared to 2014. Many people will not even fight when they see a taser. Every new police officer is tased as part of the taser training. Ms. Maggio immediately offered to be tased.

She asked if the taser had a mechanism to record whenever it was drawn or discharged. Chief Kulhawik said that when it was discharged, it is recorded in the taser, but not when it is pointed. The date, the time and how long the taser is discharged is recorded by the instrument. Chief Kulhawik said that the department policy only allows the officer to hold the taser for 5 seconds.

Ms. Maggio asked about the newspaper article headline. She pointed out that Officer LaPore had reported that the break out was 8 white people, 8 Hispanic people and 9 black people. Chief Kulhawik commented that The Hour had taken the original AP story and edited in a different manner. Those figures were the ones that the State report.

Ms. Bowman stated that while the figures were basically even, technically the figure should reflect what the population is. She went on to state that if the African American population was 20% of the overall population, then they should make up 20% of those tased. If not, it should be flagged.

Ms. Bowman went on to say that she reports to the State Department of Education. The Charter School where she worked had a student population where the low income, and minor students were the majority because that was the target population the school was designed to serve. She mentioned that they had to bus some students out to achieve more diversity and sometimes that was just the way it worked out.

In these cases, the flag were more generic and this is something that everyone should work towards. The actual figures in the news article were not nearly as dramatic as the headline suggested.

Chief Kulhawik said that he found it disappointing that the State released the numbers knowing that they were not completely accurate and without telling the various police departments that they were releasing the information. He said that there was a website, trendsct.org, that lists all the Connecticut towns and has per capita figures and allows the viewer to sort and view the information in a number of ways.

Mr. Bonenfant asked about the use of the taser during the summer vs. the winter. He wondered if the effectiveness was different due to how the suspect was dressed. Chief Kulhawik said that the numbers just reflect the time the gun was drawn or discharged, not whether it was effective. If someone is wearing a leather jacket, the barbs will not penetrate. In order for the taser to work effectively, both the barbs must make contact.

Mr. Bonenfant asked if these units were like stun guns. Chief Kulhawik described the unit and how the device works to the committee members. When the stun gun function is used, it is pain compliance. When the barbs are deployed, the person is incapacitated. A suspect who is high on drugs or very drunk may actually be able to continue to function despite the pain, but will be disabled by the barbs.

The discussion moved to a particular suspect who was hit three times with stun gun and still was able to injure a number of officers. Deputy Chief Gonzales reported that during the transport to the hospital, the suspect had damaged both doors to the cruiser and the doctors had to sedate him in the vehicle before bringing him into the ER.

Ms. Maggio asked how the barbs were removed. Chief Kulhawik said that they can be easily removed, but the department policy is to have the officers bring the suspect to the hospital for removal.

Mr. Daniel Amoroccio, a resident of East Norwalk, said that he had reply to the article on line. He said that he was the brother of a state trooper and married to a statistician. He said that there were a large disparity in the figures. His first question was about the actual number of people that were tased. Ms. Maggio said those were the figures that Officer LaPore had given. Mr. Amoroccio asked if it was broken out by the number of officers that had utilized the taser. Chief Kulhawik said that he did not know if the State had tracked that.

Ms. Bowman replied that she worked with data as well and that if Norwalk was the second largest by weight, the City would have one of the largest disparities. Even using the figures of 8, 8, & 9; technically calling this a disparity, if African Americans and Hispanics make up 40% of the population, then they should make up 40% of those who are tased statistically. She said that these were the only things that could be taken from the article.

Ms. Bowman then said that even in the Number 2 position, there were not that many taser incidents. The fact that Norwalk ranked ahead of Bridgeport and New Haven indicates it is an anomaly and something is off. Her question was whether it was one officer who was using the taser more or spread over a group of officers who deployed the tasers. Clearly most of the Norwalk officers did not use their tasers. After re-reading the article a number of times, Ms. Bowman said that it raised a number of questions, but at least the Committee had done their due diligence and looked into the situation. Now the Committee members have some answers and it is always better to be on top of the issue rather than behind it. She said that she knew that steps were being taken to work on this.

Mr. Amoroccio asked if it would be fair to say that Ms. Bowman's statement that was posted at 8:30 a.m. the previous day would be retractable based on the actual fact that the information in the article was raw, pre-liminary data that had not been processed by the



statisticians properly. Ms. Bowman said that she would not retract it because Norwalk was Number 2, only behind Hartford and it is clear there is a disparity.

Mr. Amoroccio pointed out that were numerous factors that would be involved in each incident, such as what caused the officer to either draw or deploy the taser or why one suspect complied with the police while another one resisted, resulting in the use of force. He said that the data was not really accurate until it was actually processed. Ms. Bowman said that she would not retract her statement, but the police had answered her question regarding whether there were individuals who were inflating the numbers or if it was spread out evenly across the various officers who used the taser. The report prompts a number of questions, but the actual figures are facts.

Mr. Amoroccio asked if Ms. Bowman could provide anyone with other variables in the data that could support her claim. Ms. Bowman said that if there were 8 Caucasians, 8 Hispanics and 9 African Americans that were tased, and the population was approximately 40% African American and Hispanic, then the figures should reflect a 40% incident report. From those figures, Ms. Bowman said that the Hispanics makes up almost 2/3rd of the actual tases. It should be closer to a 1/3 of the tases. It depends on what they mean by a disparity, but those two things are fact. There may be other reasons for this, but those are the facts.

Mr. Amoroccio said that Norwalk's population was 78.43% white, blacks are 10.91% and Hispanic is 18.97%. He said that what she was essentially asking for was diversity in being tased. Ms. Bowman replied that even with being arrested, they say it should be a judgment of whether a police department is fair and impartial is that the arrests should reflect the make up of the city.

Deputy Chief Gonzales pointed out that when it comes to arrests, the officers do not pick and choose who will resist. Next year, it could be that everyone who was tased was white. And also, while everyone who resisted arrest and was tased were white, the department could actually have more African American arrests. He asked if that scenario would have a disparity. Ms. Bowman said that it would depend on the theoretical numbers that she was given. The disparity would be based on the statistically definition of what was under discussion. The missing piece may be that Caucasians were warned more often. This needs to be looked into. Chief Kulhawik said that they may have been warned and the officer did not have to fire the taser.

Ms. Bowman said that now that the department has body cameras, all this could be reviewed and analyzed as far as what an officer's definition of someone who is compliant or not compliant after their first arrest. She said that she had qualitative information from individuals who had been tased while in handcuffs. As far as the complaints go, she reported that she had been told of an individual who went to the police station and sat for three hours before their complaints were taken. These are some of the things that the department needs to look at to determine where the problem may lie. She said she did

not want to brush this off like Hartford's Chief of Police did. This needs to be looked into more because on top of the quantitative data, she does have qualitative data that suggests that there may be a particular officer who is tasing people in our community.

Mr. Amoroccio asked about the information regarding the officer who was using the taser more than others. Ms. Bowman said that were lists in The Hour. Mr. Amoroccio said that while he respected Ms. Bowman, he did not agree with her because she was not a statistician. Ms. Bowman replied that she was and had a Master's from the University of Pennsylvania in Social Science Research.

Mr. Amoroccio said that it was different from Statistics degree. He pointed out that usually, it was left to the professionals to deal with the preliminary numbers and provide the correct answers. But he had concerns when statements were made in a public forum and said that he may have gone a bit too far in his replies. He thanked everyone for their time.

Mr. Bonenfant said that when the figures were 8-9-9, that one increase in a column would represent a 10% increase because they were such low numbers. Ms. Bowman said that she thought there might be one or two officers that were using their taser more than others, it would inflate the figures. Chief Kulhawik said that they do track the taser use over the course of a year.

Ms. Maggio pointed out that the Police Department also has the ethnicity of the officer who uses the tasers along with the suspect who was tased, which might account for the disparity Chief Kulhawik said that they had that information. Ms. Bowman said that it would not change the disparity because disparity is looking at the composition of the population and even with the hiring of officers, it should reflect the population. Chief Kulhawik pointed out it should reflect the population of the available work force since not everyone wants to be a police officer. The department strives towards that goal. There are also job requirements such as a mandatory age and not have a felony conviction. He went on to say that since he has been Chief, the department has hired 32 police officers hired. 19 of them have been women and minorities, who are qualified.

Ms. Bowman said that she did not create the word disparity, but it would not change what they are looking at.

Mr. Sacchinelli said that the stature of the officer might also factor into the use of the taser rather than lethal force or the use of baton. He added that he would rather be tased than shot. He asked if there were any alternatives to tasing such as pepper spray. Chief Kulhawik explained that the officers don't use much pepper spray because there was a good chance that the officer would also be disabled by the spray. He said that the officers are trained to de-escalate the situation. Ideally, the officers don't want to use force. Using force requires filing a lengthy report. Mr. Sacchinelli said that the deployment opposed to the simple drawing of the weapon was the missing piece. Ms. Maggio said

that she believed it was drawn without 14 times. Mr. Sacchinelli said that they still did not know whether those were a single individual or spread out among a number of officers. Chief Kulhawik said that there were a number of factors involved in each incident, such as whether it was justified and the circumstances.

Ms. Bowman asked what would be a significant number of tasing by a single officer. Chief Kulhawik said that in 2014, he reviewed the officers who used more force, more taser use and other factors. There are officers who are out there and more aggressive. An officer who makes more arrests is likely to use the taser more. A recent study revealed that female officers used less force than male officers.

Ms. Bowman added that she would like to see the information by unit and also by shift. Deputy Chief Gonzales pointed out that a traffic officer is less likely to use force than a detective. If a Hispanic patrol officer preferred to patrol a Hispanic neighborhood, the statistics could then show that he was arresting more Hispanics than anyone else.

Mr. Amoroccio asked Chief Kulhawik if he had ever received a complaint about the discharge of tasers. Chief Kulhawik replied that in the last two years, none of the excessive force complaints had involved the use of a taser.

#### **OLD BUSINESS**

There was no additional old business to consider at this time.

#### **ADJOURNMENT.**

**\*\* MR. SACCHINELLI MOVED TO ADJOURN.**

**\*\* THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.**

The meeting adjourned at 8:47 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

S. L. Soltes  
Telesco Secretarial Services

**CITY OF NORWALK  
HEALTH, WELFARE & PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE  
REGULAR MEETING  
FEBRUARY 25, 2016**

**ATTENDANCE:** Faye Bowman, Chair; Eloisa Melendez; Nick Sacchinelli

**CALL TO ORDER**

The Chair called the meeting to order at 7:40 PM. As there wasn't a quorum, the Chair declared that the meeting would be discussion only, with no actionable items.

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

No action could be taken on the approval of minutes, as a quorum was not present.

**PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

Diane Laurisello came forward. She stated that she is an environmental consultant, and is present to speak on the issue of the underground fuel tanks at the older firehouse. Ms. Laurisello stated that she worked for the DEP in the 1980s, and helped to start the UST program. She stated that, in the 1980s, the plan was to assess the tanks and remove those that were beyond their use, as the older tanks are metal, and thus rust and leak. She stated, when in doubt, to remove the tanks, as problems associated with long-term leakage can be more expensive. Ms. Laurisello stated that, while they were discussing the tank issues at the old police station, she is on the record asking whether or not the remaining underground tanks had been assessed. She stated that she had been reassured by the current chief's predecessors that all of the tanks had been handled, leaving her surprised when she saw the amount allotted for the current removal, which exceeds the usual amount, if the tank were uncompromised. Ms. Laurisello asked, going forward, that the public is provided with a list of the remaining tanks still in the ground on any properties owned by the City. She stated that she would be happy to advocate for the removal of any remaining tanks and spearhead the efforts over the next few months, as it would be waste of taxpayer dollars for any more tanks to deteriorate. Ms. Laurisello stated that it would be preferable that the list be prioritized by age and condition, and that there are companies who are happy to assess the tanks.

The Chair asked if anyone else wished to speak. No one came forward.

The Chair closed the public participation portion of the meeting.

### **NEW BUSINESS**

#### **Norwalk Fire Department**

**1. Authorize the Mayor, Harry W. Rilling, to execute an Agreement with ETT Enterprises, Inc. d/b/a ETT Environmental Services for the removal of the underground fuel storage tanks at the Fire Support Services facility- 100 Fairfield Avenue (Bid #355) for a sum not to exceed \$34,798.95. Account #091531105777C0541 & #090931105777C0411 & 2. Authorize the Fire Chief, Laurence Reilly, to execute Change Orders on the Agreement with ETT Enterprises, Inc. d/b/a ETT Environmental Services for the removal of the underground fuel storage tanks at the Fire Support Services Facility, 100 Fairfield Avenue, for a sum not to exceed \$2500. Account #09093**

The Chair asked if the matter at hand is time sensitive, and Chief Reilly stated that the matter could wait until next month if need be. He further introduced Assistant Chief Todd to the seated Council Members.

The Chair asked Chief Reilly to elaborate on the matter. Chief Reilly stated that they had a capital item that addressed 4 underground storage tanks at the fire maintenance building, located at the old trans-district garage. He stated that the tanks sat there for several years, and that recently they received notice from the DEP that the tanks would need to be removed, lest they receive a citation. Chief Reilly stated that there are two fiberglass tanks- one as a heating oil tank and one as a waste oil tank. He stated that they had money allotted for the expense in the budget from last year, however last fall they discovered, when the chosen contractor produced his insurance certificate, that the amount of insurance was insufficient. The City then moved on to the second in-line contractor, where they discovered the same problem. The 3rd choice company's owner was in the hospital, and the 4th company was too expensive. Gerald Foley rebid the item over the winter, and ETT had the lowest overall bid, once they factored in the price per unit to pump the oil out.

The Chair inquired about the bidder Herbert's Recovery System, and Chief Reilly stated that they still didn't have enough liability insurance, and would actually cost more once the product removal costs were factored in.

Council Member Sacchinelli asked if there is a drop dead date on penalties, and Chief Reilly stated that the DEP will not penalize them so long as there is evidence that they are moving forward with the removal.

The Chair stated that she would inform the Chief, should he need to return so the item can be voted on prior to it being addressed by the full council.

### **DISCUSSION ITEMS**

#### **50 Washington Street**

Chief Reilly stated that there had been 2 small fires over the weekend, both of which he discussed with the fire marshal. The first fire occurred when the roofers were sealing the roof using torches and accidentally set the foam insulation on fire. Chief Reilly stated that, while they extinguished it, they were unable to fully put it out and so the fire department was called. The second incident occurred when welders were working in the same area, using similar torches, and another fire was set. Yet again, they were unable to fully extinguish the fire, and the fire department was called. Chief Reilly stated that the fire marshal went to both incidents and talked to people on scene, discussing safety procedures for hot practices. He stated that the fire marshal then went to the site twice, unannounced, during the week, walking the stairwells and checking for issues. Chief Reilly stated that they had previously been to the location and found problems, but after the marshal visited yesterday, he saw they had complied with their corrections, with only a minor problem remaining. Chief Reilly emphasized that there is a good line of communication with the management.

The Chair stated that this is the 3rd incident they've been called to, and expressed her hope that they follow through with the direction of the marshals, as they have been given appropriate warning, and thus any failure to comply from here forward can be termed neglect. The Chair emphasized that their primary concern is safety.

#### **Update on the Status of Head Start**

Mary Oster and Cathy DiCesari came forward to discuss the item.

Ms. Oster stated that CDI took the program over from NEON. She stated that Housing assumed responsibility for 2 funding streams in February of 2014, and following a number of incidences towards the end of 2014 through the summer of 2015, the Head Start program received a summary suspension from the Office of Head Start in Boston right around Thanksgiving of 2015. She stated, at this point, CDI came in and took over operations from NEON, making a seamless transition for families and children. Ms. Oster emphasized that this is the primary

City of Norwalk

Health, Welfare and Public Safety Committee

Regular Meeting

February 25, 2016

daycare for many of their families, and that Housing is still in those buildings. CDI has been running Head Start, while Housing has run the other 2 programs, and they have been working well together, with CDI maintaining an open line of communication between the Childhood Council and Department of Education. On February 12th, the Office of Head Start informed CDI that their contract was terminated. Ms. Oster stated that they are currently in the process of appealing the summary suspension, and that she isn't certain whether they plan to appeal the termination. She stated that they met with CDI and Housing this morning, and that they have a good transition plan in place to ensure the smoothest possible move for children and families. She stated that families likely won't realize there is a changeover, as there is no anticipated interruption in services. Ms. Oster stated that they are discussing the lease with Legal, and on Tuesday the 23rd, the Early Childhood Council convened a meeting with the Mayor, Board of Education, people from Norwalk Public Schools, and other key members. She stated that they are waiting to hear Housing's plan of action, and that a small group will be discussing options if housing does not decide to appeal the termination. Ms. Oster stated that these plans are subject to the Office of Head Start's approval, and that CDI has agreed to stay on as long as needed.

The Chair asked if it is too early to discuss the aforementioned options, and Ms. Oster stated that it is in fact too early to speculate. Ms. DiCesari stated that the focus is currently on making sure the system stays stable, and that there won't be a cliff following CDI's departure. Ms. DiCesari stated that CDI said they've stayed years with programs while waiting to see what Head Start wants to do. Ms. DiCesari stated, in terms of putting out an RP, they will wait until they know what Housing wants to do, as there isn't an official termination, and there doesn't appear to be any imminent plan.

Ms. Oster stated that this could be a great opportunity to plan aspects of the program with the input of the children and families in the community.

Ms. DiCesari stated that it is wonderful to see all of the community involvement and conference. She stated that all of the children's records are in one place, and that CDI will take receipt of all the children's records if necessary. She further stated that each site is mixed, with multiple funding streams, and that she hoped the next organization would continue forward with a clear understanding of the designation of classrooms.

The Chair asked if it was still acceptable for the funding streams to be shared. Ms. Oster stated that the Office of Early Childhood Licensing has visited the locations, and have been very impressed and pleased with the current operations. Ms. DiCesari stated that several local people have visited regularly for monitoring visits, and that they have a consultant coming in from NCC.

The Chair stated that a long-term item of concern may be that trying to replicate the format NEON had will not be functional for another company, and suggested they find a non-profit organization willing to take portions of classrooms. She then asked how many Head Start classrooms they currently have. Ms. Oster stated that Head Start has 140 children, so there are about 10 classrooms.

Ms. DiCesari stated that one of the buildings is required to be an Early Childhood Learning Facility, which becomes a physical issue due to the grant and where the parents are located. She stated they do not want to do anything that may be disruptive to the parents.

The Chair asked if a church with an active 501(c3) could have a Head Start program if they are willing. Ms. DiCesari stated that, while this is possible, she has learned anecdotally that the energy and drive required to be a contractor under Head Start is extremely demanding. Ms. Oster stated that they have well over 500 rules and regulations to be followed, and that the process is rather daunting. She further stated that the organization in question would need to have the proper infrastructure to handle this.

Ms. DiCesari stated that the Superintendent's strategic planning hopes to see more preschools attached to elementary schools, as this has proved to be a very effective model in helping children get acclimated to the elementary school environment early. Ms. Oster stated that this model provides a seamless transition and gets families involved, creating a solid community.

Ms. DiCesari stated that it is difficult for a small, community based organization to take on the challenges of mounting a preschool without a significant parent fee or through compromising the quality of care. Ms. Oster stated that most funding streams in this area are severely underfunded, and that start up money is needed to outfit classrooms and provide supplies.

Ms. DiCesari stated that she works for a nonprofit organization and works with the council in her spare time. She stated that the council is comprised primarily of community partners, such as librarians, and is a composite of the community devoted to the early childhood system.

The Chair asked about the feasibility of fundraising. Ms. DiCesari stated that the financial resources for what they demand of their program is completely out of whack, given that it takes \$15,000 to \$16,000 per child to run a quality program, and their funding is between \$9,000 and \$10,000 per child. The Chair stated that Head Start teachers qualify for partial loan cancellation. Ms. DiCesari stated that she appreciated the committee's interest, and that she would be happy to talk more regarding fundraising at their convenience. Ms. Oster seconded this, and stated that it is incumbent upon their organization, the committee, and the community to ensure children receive a quality education.



Council Member Melendez noted how crucial the preschool years are for childhood development. Ms. Oster stated that she took a prenatal class at the Norwalk Community Health Center, and noted how few people know the importance of reading and talking to babies, toddlers and children, particularly in full sentences.

**OLD BUSINESS**

No old business was discussed.

**ADJOURNMENT**

The Chair adjourned the meeting at 8:20 PM.

Respectfully submitted,

Catherine Ramos  
Telesco Secretarial Services

**CITY OF NORWALK  
HEALTH, WELFARE & PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE  
REGULAR MEETING  
FEBRUARY 25, 2016**

**ATTENDANCE:** Faye Bowman, Chair; Eloisa Melendez; Nick Sacchinelli

**CALL TO ORDER**

The Chair called the meeting to order at 7:40 PM. As there wasn't a quorum, the Chair declared that the meeting would be discussion only, with no actionable items.

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

No action could be taken on the approval of minutes, as a quorum was not present.

**PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

Diane Laurisello came forward. She stated that she is an environmental consultant, and is present to speak on the issue of the underground fuel tanks at the older firehouse. Ms. Laurisello stated that she worked for the DEP in the 1980s, and helped to start the UST program. She stated that, in the 1980s, the plan was to assess the tanks and remove those that were beyond their use, as the older tanks are metal, and thus rust and leak. She stated, when in doubt, to remove the tanks, as problems associated with long-term leakage can be more expensive. Ms. Laurisello stated that, while they were discussing the tank issues at the old police station, she is on the record asking whether or not the remaining underground tanks had been assessed. She stated that she had been reassured by the current chief's predecessors that all of the tanks had been handled, leaving her surprised when she saw the amount allotted for the current removal, which exceeds the usual amount, if the tank were uncompromised. Ms. Laurisello asked, going forward, that the public is provided with a list of the remaining tanks still in the ground on any properties owned by the City. She stated that she would be happy to advocate for the removal of any remaining tanks and spearhead the efforts over the next few months, as it would be waste of taxpayer dollars for any more tanks to deteriorate. Ms. Laurisello stated that it would be preferable that the list be prioritized by age and condition, and that there are companies who are happy to assess the tanks.

The Chair asked if anyone else wished to speak. No one came forward.

The Chair closed the public participation portion of the meeting.

### NEW BUSINESS

#### **Norwalk Fire Department**

**1. Authorize the Mayor, Harry W. Rilling, to execute an Agreement with ETT Enterprises, Inc. d/b/a ETT Environmental Services for the removal of the underground fuel storage tanks at the Fire Support Services facility- 100 Fairfield Avenue (Bid #355) for a sum not to exceed \$34,798.95. Account #091531105777C0541 & #090931105777C0411 & 2. Authorize the Fire Chief, Laurence Reilly, to execute Change Orders on the Agreement with ETT Enterprises, Inc. d/b/a ETT Environmental Services for the removal of the underground fuel storage tanks at the Fire Support Services Facility, 100 Fairfield Avenue, for a sum not to exceed \$2500. Account #09093**

The Chair asked if the matter at hand is time sensitive, and Chief Reilly stated that the matter could wait until next month if need be. He further introduced Assistant Chief Todd to the seated Council Members.

The Chair asked Chief Reilly to elaborate on the matter. Chief Reilly stated that they had a capital item that addressed 4 underground storage tanks at the fire maintenance building, located at the old trans-district garage. He stated that the tanks sat there for several years, and that recently they received notice from the DEP that the tanks would need to be removed, lest they receive a citation. Chief Reilly stated that there are two fiberglass tanks- one as a heating oil tank and one as a waste oil tank. He stated that they had money allotted for the expense in the budget from last year, however last fall they discovered, when the chosen contractor produced his insurance certificate, that the amount of insurance was insufficient. The City then moved on to the second in-line contractor, where they discovered the same problem. The 3rd choice company's owner was in the hospital, and the 4th company was too expensive. Gerald Foley rebid the item over the winter, and ETT had the lowest overall bid, once they factored in the price per unit to pump the oil out.

The Chair inquired about the bidder Herbert's Recovery System, and Chief Reilly stated that they still didn't have enough liability insurance, and would actually cost more once the product removal costs were factored in.

Council Member Sacchinelli asked if there is a drop dead date on penalties, and Chief Reilly stated that the DEP will not penalize them so long as there is evidence that they are moving forward with the removal.

The Chair stated that she would inform the Chief, should he need to return so the item can be voted on prior to it being addressed by the full council.

### **DISCUSSION ITEMS**

#### **50 Washington Street**

Chief Reilly stated that there had been 2 small fires over the weekend, both of which he discussed with the fire marshal. The first fire occurred when the roofers were sealing the roof using torches and accidentally set the foam insulation on fire. Chief Reilly stated that, while they extinguished it, they were unable to fully put it out and so the fire department was called. The second incident occurred when welders were working in the same area, using similar torches, and another fire was set. Yet again, they were unable to fully extinguish the fire, and the fire department was called. Chief Reilly stated that the fire marshal went to both incidents and talked to people on scene, discussing safety procedures for hot practices. He stated that the fire marshal then went to the site twice, unannounced, during the week, walking the stairwells and checking for issues. Chief Reilly stated that they had previously been to the location and found problems, but after the marshal visited yesterday, he saw they had complied with their corrections, with only a minor problem remaining. Chief Reilly emphasized that there is a good line of communication with the management.

The Chair stated that this is the 3rd incident they've been called to, and expressed her hope that they follow through with the direction of the marshals, as they have been given appropriate warning, and thus any failure to comply from here forward can be termed neglect. The Chair emphasized that their primary concern is safety.

#### **Update on the Status of Head Start**

Mary Oster and Cathy DiCesari came forward to discuss the item.

Ms. Oster stated that CDI took the program over from NEON. She stated that Housing assumed responsibility for 2 funding streams in February of 2014, and following a number of incidences towards the end of 2014 through the summer of 2015, the Head Start program received a summary suspension from the Office of Head Start in Boston right around Thanksgiving of 2015. She stated, at this point, CDI came in and took over operations from NEON, making a seamless transition for families and children. Ms. Oster emphasized that this is the primary

City of Norwalk

Health, Welfare and Public Safety Committee

Regular Meeting

February 25, 2016

daycare for many of their families, and that Housing is still in those buildings. CDI has been running Head Start, while Housing has run the other 2 programs, and they have been working well together, with CDI maintaining an open line of communication between the Childhood Council and Department of Education. On February 12th, the Office of Head Start informed CDI that their contract was terminated. Ms. Oster stated that they are currently in the process of appealing the summary suspension, and that she isn't certain whether they plan to appeal the termination. She stated that they met with CDI and Housing this morning, and that they have a good transition plan in place to ensure the smoothest possible move for children and families. She stated that families likely won't realize there is a changeover, as there is no anticipated interruption in services. Ms. Oster stated that they are discussing the lease with Legal, and on Tuesday the 23rd, the Early Childhood Council convened a meeting with the Mayor, Board of Education, people from Norwalk Public Schools, and other key members. She stated that they are waiting to hear Housing's plan of action, and that a small group will be discussing options if housing does not decide to appeal the termination. Ms. Oster stated that these plans are subject to the Office of Head Start's approval, and that CDI has agreed to stay on as long as needed.

The Chair asked if it is too early to discuss the aforementioned options, and Ms. Oster stated that it is in fact too early to speculate. Ms. DiCesari stated that the focus is currently on making sure the system stays stable, and that there won't be a cliff following CDI's departure. Ms. DiCesari stated that CDI said they've stayed years with programs while waiting to see what Head Start wants to do. Ms. DiCesari stated, in terms of putting out an RP, they will wait until they know what Housing wants to do, as there isn't an official termination, and there doesn't appear to be any imminent plan.

Ms. Oster stated that this could be a great opportunity to plan aspects of the program with the input of the children and families in the community.

Ms. DiCesari stated that it is wonderful to see all of the community involvement and conference. She stated that all of the children's records are in one place, and that CDI will take receipt of all the children's records if necessary. She further stated that each site is mixed, with multiple funding streams, and that she hoped the next organization would continue forward with a clear understanding of the designation of classrooms.

The Chair asked if it was still acceptable for the funding streams to be shared. Ms. Oster stated that the Office of Early Childhood Licensing has visited the locations, and have been very impressed and pleased with the current operations. Ms. DiCesari stated that several local people have visited regularly for monitoring visits, and that they have a consultant coming in from NCC.

The Chair stated that a long-term item of concern may be that trying to replicate the format NEON had will not be functional for another company, and suggested they find a non-profit organization willing to take portions of classrooms. She then asked how many Head Start classrooms they currently have. Ms. Oster stated that Head Start has 140 children, so there are about 10 classrooms.

Ms. DiCesari stated that one of the buildings is required to be an Early Childhood Learning Facility, which becomes a physical issue due to the grant and where the parents are located. She stated they do not want to do anything that may be disruptive to the parents.

The Chair asked if a church with an active 501(c3) could have a Head Start program if they are willing. Ms. DiCesari stated that, while this is possible, she has learned anecdotally that the energy and drive required to be a contractor under Head Start is extremely demanding. Ms. Oster stated that they have well over 500 rules and regulations to be followed, and that the process is rather daunting. She further stated that the organization in question would need to have the proper infrastructure to handle this.

Ms. DiCesari stated that the Superintendent's strategic planning hopes to see more preschools attached to elementary schools, as this has proved to be a very effective model in helping children get acclimated to the elementary school environment early. Ms. Oster stated that this model provides a seamless transition and gets families involved, creating a solid community.

Ms. DiCesari stated that it is difficult for a small, community based organization to take on the challenges of mounting a preschool without a significant parent fee or through compromising the quality of care. Ms. Oster stated that most funding streams in this area are severely underfunded, and that start up money is needed to outfit classrooms and provide supplies.

Ms. DiCesari stated that she works for a nonprofit organization and works with the council in her spare time. She stated that the council is comprised primarily of community partners, such as librarians, and is a composite of the community devoted to the early childhood system.

The Chair asked about the feasibility of fundraising. Ms. DiCesari stated that the financial resources for what they demand of their program is completely out of whack, given that it takes \$15,000 to \$16,000 per child to run a quality program, and their funding is between \$9,000 and \$10,000 per child. The Chair stated that Head Start teachers qualify for partial loan cancellation. Ms. DiCesari stated that she appreciated the committee's interest, and that she would be happy to talk more regarding fundraising at their convenience. Ms. Oster seconded this, and stated that it is incumbent upon their organization, the committee, and the community to ensure children receive a quality education.

Council Member Melendez noted how crucial the preschool years are for childhood development. Ms. Oster stated that she took a prenatal class at the Norwalk Community Health Center, and noted how few people know the importance of reading and talking to babies, toddlers and children, particularly in full sentences.

**OLD BUSINESS**

No old business was discussed.

**ADJOURNMENT**

The Chair adjourned the meeting at 8:20 PM.

Respectfully submitted,

Catherine Ramos  
Telesco Secretarial Services

## **Hazard Mitigation Plan 2016-2021- Summary**

The HMP was prepared in accordance with 44 CFR 201.6 of the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA; Public Law 106-390). The Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA) established a national program for pre-disaster mitigation and helps expedite the administration of disaster relief to impacted areas. A key requirement of the DMA is the need for a FEMA-approved HMP, which keeps the region and its municipalities eligible for Hazard Mitigation Assistance (HMA) funding. During the past 2 years, the WCCOG worked with municipal staff, as well as local and regional stakeholders to identify and incorporate critical information. This HMP builds on previous iterations in 2005 and more recently in 2011, which currently serves as the existing HMP for the area. The plan was made available for public comment and WCCOG also held community information sessions throughout the region.

The South Western Region (SWR) is comprised of eight municipalities which form the southwestern panhandle of Connecticut. The region shares its western boundary with New York's Westchester County, is bounded by Long Island Sound (LIS) to the south, and extends inland approximately 13 miles.

**The Western Connecticut Council of Governments (WCCOG, formerly SWRPA) received Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) funds through the Connecticut Division of Emergency Management and Homeland Security (DEMHS) to develop the 2016 Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update (HMP) for the following municipalities listed below:**

- Town of Darien
- City of Stamford
- Town of Greenwich
- Town of Weston
- Town of New Canaan
- Town of Westport
- City of Norwalk
- Town of Wilton

A key goal of this plan is to identify the natural hazards likely to affect the SWR, its eight municipalities and the over 365,000 residents. The plan also identifies areas vulnerable to the aforementioned natural hazards, and incorporates appropriate strategies aimed towards mitigation. Consistent with FEMA and DEMHS goals, the HMP serves to reduce loss of life and property, economic disruptions and the cost of post-disaster recovery for the region's communities.

**Specific goals and objectives of the document include:**

- Protecting public safety and preventing loss of life and injury;
- Reducing harm to existing and future development;
- Preventing damage to a community's unique economic, cultural, and environmental assets;



- Minimizing operational downtime and accelerating the recovery of government and business after disasters;
- Reducing the costs of disaster response and recovery, as well as the exposure to risk for first responders; and
- Helping accomplish other community objectives, such as leveraging capital improvements, infrastructure protection, open space preservation, and economic resiliency.

**Project benefits include:**

- Identifying cost effective actions for risk reduction;
- Directing resources to the greatest risks and vulnerabilities
- Building partnerships by involving people, organizations and businesses;
- Increasing education and awareness of hazards and associated risk;
- Aligning risk reduction with other community objectives; and
- Providing eligibility to receive FEMA federal hazard mitigation grant funds

Upon adoption of the 8 participating communities (through the Resolution), the Hazard Mitigation Plan will be in effect from 2016-2021.

